

Detecting Unpreventable Collisions

Pradyumna Kannan

July 20, 2008

Suppose two keys k_1 and k_2 such that $k_2 > k_1$ collide unwantedly using the 64-bit magic hashing scheme to the same index given the guessed bits in the magic g , the unguessed bits in the magic u , and the number of bits in the index x , where $3 \leq x \leq 12$. The goal is to find out if the collision can be prevented by guessing additional bits in u . If the collision cannot be prevented, then the search can cutoff at this point. Let all arithmetic (numbers and operations) here on out be in 64-bits.

Definition For the sake of easy notation, the binary $\&$ operator has precedence over $+$ and $-$ and $*$ but not over juxtaposed multiplication (eg. $k_1 g \& IB = (k_1 * g) \& IB$) for these notes. This is different from precedence rules in C.

Definition A full bitboard is defined as $U64FULL = 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF$.

Definition The index bits is defined as $IB = \sim (U64FULL >> x)$.

Definition The number corresponding to the smallest non-zero index is defined as $SI = C64(1) << (64 - x)$.

Definition The difference between the input keys is defined as $d = k_2 - k_1$.

Proposition 0.1 $k_2 = k_1 + d$

Proposition 0.2 $a - a = 0$

Proposition 0.3 If $a = b$ and $c = d$, then $a + c = b + d$.

Proposition 0.4 If $a = b$ and $c = d$, then $a - c = b - d$.

Proposition 0.5 If $a = b$ and $c = d$, then $a * c = b * d$.

Proposition 0.6 $a * (b + c) = a * b + a * c$.

Proposition 0.7 $a = (a/b) * b + a \% b$.

Proposition 0.8 $(a + b \& IB) \& IB = a \& IB + b \& IB$

Proposition 0.9 $(a - b \& IB) \& IB = a \& IB - b \& IB$

Proposition 0.10 $(a + b \& IB) \& \sim IB = a \& \sim IB$

Proposition 0.11 $(a - b \& IB) \& \sim IB = a \& \sim IB$

Proposition 0.12 $[a * (b \& IB)] \& \sim IB = 0$

Proposition 0.13 $(a \& IB) * (b \& IB) = 0$

Proposition 0.14 $(a \& \sim IB + b \& \sim IB) \& \sim IB = (a + b) \& \sim IB$

Proposition 0.15 $(a \& \sim IB - b \& \sim IB) \& \sim IB = (a - b) \& \sim IB$

Proposition 0.16 $(a \& \sim IB * b \& \sim IB) \& \sim IB = (a * b) \& \sim IB$

Proposition 0.17 $a = a \& IB + a \& \sim IB$

Proposition 0.18 $0 = a \& IB$ is true if $a < SI$.

Proposition 0.19 $a \& \sim IB + b \& \sim IB \leq \sim IB + SI$

Proposition 0.20 If $[a \& \sim IB + b] \& IB = 0$ then either $b \& IB = 0$ or $b \& IB = IB$.

It is given that

$$[k_1 g] \& IB = [k_2 g] \& IB.$$

Try to assert that for all possible u ,

$$[k_1(g + u)] \& IB = [k_2(g + u)] \& IB.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [k_1(g + u)] \& IB &= [k_2(g + u)] \& IB \\ [k_1 g + k_1 u] \& IB &= [k_2 g + k_2 u] \& IB \\ [k_1 g + k_1 u] \& IB &= [k_2 g + (k_1 + d)u] \& IB \\ [k_1 g + k_1 u] \& IB &= [k_2 g + k_1 u + du] \& IB \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [k_2 g + k_1 u + du] \& IB - [k_1 g + k_1 u] \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB + k_2 g \& IB + k_1 u \& IB + du \& IB] \& IB \\ &\quad - [k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB + k_1 g \& IB + k_1 u \& IB] \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB] \& IB + k_2 g \& IB + k_1 u \& IB + du \& IB \\ &\quad - [k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB] \& IB - k_1 g \& IB - k_1 u \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB] \& IB + du \& IB \\ &\quad - [k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB] \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB - k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB + du] \& IB \\ &\quad - [k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB] \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB - k_1 g \& \sim IB + (k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB) \& \sim IB + (k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB) \& IB + du \& \sim IB] \& IB \\ &\quad - [k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB] \& IB + du \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB - k_1 g \& \sim IB + (k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB) \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB] \& IB + du \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB - k_1 g \& \sim IB + (k_1 g \& \sim IB + k_1 u \& \sim IB) \& \sim IB + du] \& IB \\ 0 &= [k_2 g \& \sim IB - k_1 g \& \sim IB + (k_1(g + u)) \& \sim IB + du] \& IB \end{aligned}$$

If $du \& IB$ is non-zero, the collision may be preventable; otherwise,

$$[(k_1 g + k_1 u) \& \sim IB + dg \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB] \& IB = 0$$

The above is true if the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} (k_1 g + k_1 u) \& \sim IB + dg \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB &< SI \\ (k_1 g + k_1 u) \& \sim IB + du \& \sim IB &< SI - dg \& \sim IB \end{aligned}$$